Insulin-like Growth Factor: Current Concepts and New Developments in Cancer Therapy
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Abstract: The insulin-like growth factor (IGF) family and the IGF-1 receptor (IGF-1R) play an important role in cancer. This intricate and complex signaling pathway provides many opportunities for therapeutic intervention, and several novel therapeutics aimed at the IGF-1R, particularly monoclonal antibodies and small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors, are under clinical investigation. This article provides a patent overview of the IGF signaling pathway and its complexity, addresses the justification for the use of IGF-1R-targeted therapy, and reviews the results of in vivo and in vitro novel therapeutics. Over the past year, the completion of several phase I, II, and III trials have provided interesting new information about the clinical activity of these novel compounds, particularly CP-751,871, IMC-A12, R1507, AMG-479, AVE-1642, MK-0646, XL-228, OSI-906, and BMS-754807. We review the important preliminary results from clinical trials with these compounds and conclude with a discussion about future therapeutic efforts.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The insulin like growth factor (IGF) family comprises a complex molecular signaling pathway, now well-recognized as an important factor in oncogenesis, disease progression, metastasis, and chemoresistance. Involvement of the IGF pathway–particularly the receptor IGF-1R–has been documented in many malignancies [1], including colorectal, breast, pancreatic, lung, head and neck, prostate, renal, ovarian, and endometrial cancer, as well as sarcomas [2-11]. IGF-1R has become the target of novel therapeutics, specifically monoclonal IGF-1R Antibodies, and small molecules targeting inhibition of the IGF-1R tyrosine kinase, as reviewed by Hewish et al. [12]. In this review, we will discuss recent preliminary results from clinical trials with a few promising compounds, with a focus on efficacy and toxicity, and conclude with a discussion about future therapeutic efforts, including targeted therapy and predictive biomarkers.

1.1. The IGF Family

The IGF signaling system family itself is composed of the three ligands IGF-1, IGF-2, and insulin; three cell membrane receptors–type 1 and 2 IGF receptors (IGF-1R and IGF-2R) and the insulin receptor (IR); seven high-affinity binding proteins (IGFBP 1-7); and several associated proteins, namely IRS and shc. These lead to two main signaling cascades: the PI3K/Akt and Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK pathways, which ultimately result in suppressed apoptosis, cell proliferation and invasion, and enhanced cell survival.

The IGF-1R is a heterotetrameric transmembrane receptor, composed of two α and two β subunits linked by disulfide bonds. The extracellular α subunits are responsible for ligand binding, while the transmembrane β subunits contain tyrosine kinase and C-terminal domains [13]. Although the IGF-2 receptor participates in ligand binding, the absence of a tyrosine kinase domain prevents participation in the signaling cascade [14]. IGF-2R thereby scavenges circulating IGF-2 and may in fact act as a tumor suppressor [15]. The IGF-1R is structurally related to the insulin receptor, with 60% homology in its amino acid sequence [16], allowing formation of hybrid receptors (IGF-1R/IR-A or IGF-1R/IR-B) [17]. Hybrid receptors can bind both IGF ligands and act as mitogens, especially IR-A, which is overexpressed in several cancers [18, 19]. IGF-1 binds IGF-1R with the greatest affinity, yet both IGF-2 and insulin may bind IGF-1R (IGF-2 with much greater affinity than insulin).

The IGF-binding proteins serve to modulate the bioactivities of the circulating IGFs and bind with differing affinities [20]. The IGFBPs may inhibit the signaling pathway by binding circulating IGFs, thus blocking receptor binding, but they may also maintain IGFs in circulation and lengthen their circulating half-life [21]. In this sense, they perform dual but opposing roles and concomitantly regulate IGF function.

1.2. Activation and Signal Transduction

Upon ligand binding of IGF-1 or IGF-2 to the IGF-1R, conformational changes lead to auto-phosphorylation in the tyrosine kinase domain. This conformational change provides docking sites for receptor substrate proteins, including shc, insulin receptor substrate proteins (IRS 1-4), and p85 regulatory subunit of PI3-kinase (PI3K), which in turn activates...
Insulin, IGF-1, or IGF-2 can bind to IR, IGF-1R, or IGF-2R. IGFBPs may act as sinks for excess IGF. Upon ligand binding and phosphorylation of IGF-1R, downstream networks including the RAS/RAF/MEK/ERK pathway and PI3K/AKT/TOR pathway are activated. This leads to upregulation of nuclear transcription factors, decreased apoptosis, and increased cellular survival. IGF-1 insulin-like growth factor 1; IGF-2 insulin-like growth factor 2; IGF-1R insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor; IGF-2R insulin-like growth factor 2 receptor; IR insulin receptor; IGFBP insulin-like growth factor binding protein; IRS insulin receptor substrate protein; GRB2 growth factor receptor-bound protein 2; SHC SRC homology and collagen; SOS son of sevenless; PI3K phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase; PIP 2 and 3 phosphatidylinositol 4,5 biphosphate/3,4,5 tripolyphosphate; AKT protein kinase B; PTEN phosphatase and tensin homolog; TSC2 tuberous sclerosis complex; FKHR forkhead in human rhabdomyosarcoma; mTOR molecular target of rapamycin; eIF4EBP1 eukaryotic translation initiation factor binding protein 1; eIF4E/B eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E/4B; p 70 S6k p70S6 kinase; MAPK mitogen-activated protein kinase; MEK MAPK extracellular-signal-related kinase; ERK extracellular-signal-related kinase.

SOS, thereby activating the Raf-1/MEK/ERK pathway [24]. Extracellular signal-related kinase (ERK) promotes cell growth and transcription and cellular differentiation.

A third pathway has emerged involving the Janus kinases (JAK)-1 and JAK-2, which upon phosphorylation through IGF-1R, activate signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT) proteins [25]. Although their exact function has not yet been elucidated, the JAK/STAT pathway has been implicated in IGF-1 feedback signaling, receptor cross-talk, and cytokine signaling [26].

1.3. Functional Role in Cancer

Under normal conditions, IGF is responsible for childhood growth and development, and it continues to mediate autocrine and paracrine functions throughout adulthood. In the endocrine system, growth hormone (GH) stimulates liver synthesis of IGF-1, which in turn stimulates growth and development. IGF-1 deficiency results in short stature in humans [27]; mice with targeted IGF-2 deficiency have severe growth retardation and delayed lung development [28].
It is generally accepted that the IGF family plays a crucial role in the development, progression, and dissemination of multiple cancer types [29]. As reviewed by Bruchim, upregulated IGF-1R expression, both in vitro and in vivo, has been associated with cancer in several organ systems, including the reproductive tract (ovary, endometrial, prostate), GI system (colorectal, gallbladder, hepatocellular, pancreatic), respiratory (lung), skin (melanoma), genitourinary tract (renal and bladder), and various other sites (breast, thyroid) [30].

Although the role of the IGF family in oncogenesis is well-established, the utility of the IGF-1/2 ligands and IGFBPs as a marker for risk and prognosis in cancer remains undefined, with conflicting data. A group recently genotyped 24 IGF-1 gene variants in 6300 cases of breast cancer compared with 8100 controls and found no significant association between IGF-1 germline variations between the two groups [31]. Although Key et al. noted that increased levels of IGF-1 were associated with increased breast cancer risk [32], this association may differ by ethnicity [33]. In ovarian cancer, for which no reliable screening method exists and is desperately needed, results have also been inconsistent. Whereas one cohort exhibited a trend towards increased IGF-1 levels and risk of ovarian cancer in younger patients [34], a larger cohort exhibited no association between IGFBP3, IGFBP2, IGF-1 levels or ratios and ovarian cancer risk [35]. Although the value of IGF ligands remains controversial in determining risk, they may predict prognosis, especially in the case of IGF-1 and/or IGF-2. In ovarian cancer, increased levels of IGF-2 are associated with poor prognosis, decreased survival, and taxol resistance [6, 36]. Escalating IGF-1 or -2 levels may indicate tumor progression, as has been documented in colorectal and hepatocellular carcinoma [37-40].

A relationship also exists between IGFBPs and clinical outcomes, but it is inconsistent. Higher levels of IGFBP1 and 2 have been associated with a poorer prognosis or higher grade tumors in glioblastomas [41], colorectal cancer [39], and ovarian cancer [6]. In prostate cancer cell lines, IGFBP2 promoted growth and decreased sensitivity to docetaxel [42]. Increased circulating levels of IGFBP3, on the other hand, seem to indicate a more favorable prognosis in colorectal and prostate cancer [43, 44]. It has been suggested that IGFBP3 may inhibit tumor growth and that it is downregulated in hepatocellular cancer [45]. Mehta et al. crossed IGFBP3 knockout mice with a prostate cancer mouse that typically develops nonmetastatic tumors, and found that loss of tumor suppression by IGFBP3 accelerated tumor growth and resulted in metastases [46]. One of the mechanisms by which IGFBP3 functions as a tumor suppressor in prostate cancer appears to involve degradation of NF-κB [47]. Recently IGFBP7 has gained attention as a favorable prognostic marker in thyroid cancer, breast cancer, and melanoma [48-50]. Similar to the relationship of IGF ligands to risk and prognosis, results for the IGFBPs have varied. Some studies have found a significant association, but these results are not always reproducible. Rinaldi et al. determined that the strength of association between IGFBP3 and risk of breast cancer depended on the assay used [51]. Although it is widely accepted that the IGF family plays an important role in many cancer types—a relationship validated both in vivo and in vitro—the exact correlation between the circulating ligands and binding proteins varies by individual disease subsets and patient cohorts and may depend on the type of assays and methods used in analysis. Interestingly, these variations may aid in selection of patients who would benefit most from IGF-targeted therapy and biomarker development.

### 1.4. Receptor Cross-Talk

Receptor cross-talk can occur through ligand interactions or common downstream molecular pathways and modulation. These interactions provide tumors with additional signaling opportunities and the potential to evade regulatory checkpoints, especially in the context of chemoresistance. Consequently, many of the newer investigational agents target the receptor cross-talk system, and clinical trials have begun employing multiple targeted agents in order to overcome drug resistance and improve efficacy.

While IGF-1R can heterodimerize with members of its own receptor family, it also participates in dimerization with other receptors; heterodimerization with the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is well-established [52]. Inhibition of one receptor may shift the signaling pathway in favor of an available counterpart receptor; for example, inhibition of IGF-1R leads to EGFR upregulation and phosphorylation. Likewise, EGFR inhibition with erlotinib results in IGF-1R phosphorylation and upregulation [53]. The IGF-1R/EGFR cross-talk is further potentiated through intracellular downstream signaling via the PI3K/Akt and Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK pathways. Evidence exists that IGF-1R can independently activate downstream EGFR pathways with subsequent EGF receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) resistance [54]. IGF-1R can also trans-activate G protein-coupled receptor signaling systems (GPCRs) through chemokine receptors, which in turn activates EGFR [55]. Correspondingly, lung cancer patients treated with gefitinib, an EGFR inhibitor, had a worse prognosis and a diminished disease response when they exhibited elevated circulating levels of IGF-1 [56].

The EGFR/IGF-1R chemoresistance relationship has been observed in the setting of tamoxifen as well [57]. In addition to EGFR, IGF-1R collaborates with the estrogen receptor (ER) in signaling pathways. While IGF can activate the ER in the absence of estrogen ligands, downstream pathways also take part in cross-talk. When bound to estradiol, the ER collaborates with IGF-1R to cause EGFR upregulation. In breast cancer cells, estrogen-induced proliferation has been shown to upregulate the MAP kinase cascade, which is mediated by autocrine stimulation of IGF-1R and has been linked to tamoxifen resistance [58]. Upregulation of the IGF-1 pathway causes breast cancer cell line resistance to tamoxifen and fulvestrant by upregulation of MAPK and PI3K signaling [59]. Trastuzumab resistance similarly arises from receptor cross-talk, and it has been shown that the ErbB2 receptor heterodimerizes with IGF-1R [60].

Others have demonstrated a relationship between the platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) and IGF-1R. Similar to the above observations, inhibition of both receptors resulted in increased cell death in high-grade glioma cell lines [61]. This likely occurs through the PI3K/Akt/mTOR-mediated pathway. Zhang et al. found de-
increased Akt signaling in PDGFR-depleted cells in the presence of IGF and EGF, suggesting that PDGFR is required for downstream signaling [62].

In pancreatic cancer, GPCRs are overexpressed and stimulate p90RSK/ERK, which then activates the mTOR pathway [63]; as opposed to the IGF-1R pathway, which activates mTOR through PI3K. It is hypothesized that mTOR is the point of pathway coalescence, thereby allowing IGF-1R/GCPR interactions to potentiate cell survival and proliferation. Metformin can disrupt this crosstalk and is gaining recognition as a potential anticancer agent in the IGF-1R arena [64].

1.5. Angiogenesis and Invasion

IGF has been linked to angiogenesis, which is essential for tumor metastasis and nutrient recruitment. In nonmalignant disease such as diabetic retinopathy, IGF-1 has been linked to neovascularization. Logically, concerns exist that insulin compounds could stimulate angiogenesis in human cancers, and recent evidence exists supporting this theory [65]. Both hypoxia-induced angiogenesis and crosstalk between IGF-1R and other receptors mediate neovascularization in the IGF pathway. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α) is expressed under hypoxic conditions; IGF-1 and -2 have been shown to provoke HIF-1α expression [66]. In response to HIF-1α expression, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is recruited—an entity that is well-established for tumor metastasis and nutrient recruitment. In nonmalignant disease such as diabetic retinopathy, IGF-1 has been linked to angiogenesis, which is essential for hematogenous dissemination, angiogenesis, lymphangiogenesis, and metastasis were observed [69]. On the other hand, certain IGFBPs that have been protective against oncogenesis have also proven protective against angiogenesis. IGFBP7, for example, blocks VEGF-induced angiogenesis in vascular endothelial cells [70].

Although neovascularization and vessel recruitment are essential for hematogenous dissemination, IGF also supports direct invasion and metastasis via matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), particularly MMP-2. IGF-1R is a factor in MMP regulation, including synthesis and activation, and when partnered with MMP-2 participates in extracellular matrix degradation and tumor invasion [71]. Both in vitro and in vivo models support this observation: In a study of colon adenocarcinoma Wu et al. demonstrated that IGF-1 promoted liver metastasis and angiogenesis in mice [37]. Conversely, with inhibition of IGF-1R, fewer numbers of circulating tumor cells and pulmonary metastases were observed [72].

2. TARGETING THE IGF PATHWAY

Given its function in tumor growth, progression, angiogenesis, and invasion, the IGF pathway is an appealing target for cancer therapy. However, apprehension surrounding the potential toxicity of inhibiting the IGF pathway has resulted in a delay in therapeutic development. Because the IGF pathway is nearly ubiquitous in healthy and malignant cells alike, and because the IGF-1R shares considerable homology with the insulin receptor, the potential for metabolic dysfunction including hyperglycemia is real and has been observed in human trials.

The mainstay of IGF-targeted therapy is directed at IGF-1R and falls into two categories: small molecule inhibitors aimed at the tyrosine kinase domain of IGF-1R (TKI), and monoclonal antibodies (mAb) directed at IGF-1R. There are at least 30 such drugs in clinical or laboratory testing and over 60 clinical trials evaluating IGF-1R-directed therapy Table 1. The small molecule inhibitors compete for the ATP-binding sites on the tyrosine kinase domains, thereby decreasing receptor phosphorylation. Although highly effective, receptor specificity is poor, and concurrent insulin receptor inhibition occurs. Interestingly, this lack of specificity may provide added benefit in the case of hybrid receptors, which are overexpressed in certain tumor types (although their exact role in malignancy remains undefined). Several TKIs (tyrophostins, picropodophyllins, INSM-18, and BMS-754807; see below) inhibit IGF-1R through non-ATP-competitive binding to the insulin receptor. This is postulated to decrease untoward side effects and result in less resistance.

Furthermore, TKIs may also inhibit the receptor crosstalk pathway, and in vivo studies have demonstrated synergistic effects in combination with other targeted therapies [73-75], as well as benefit in chemoresistant or EGFR/HER-2 inhibitor refractory tumors [76-78].

Antibodies, on the other hand, are more attractive owing to their higher selectivity and lack of reactivity with the insulin receptor. They bind the extracellular domain of the IGF-1R, block ligand binding, and cause receptor internalization and degradation. Dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) is rare, and half-life ranges from 7 to 11 days. Most pharmaceuticals employ an IgG1 base, with the exception of CP-751,871 (Pfizer, NY, NY, US7700742) [79], which uses an IgG2 base, and BIIB-022 (Biogen, Cambridge, MA, US7612178) [80], which employs an IgG4 base.

2.1. Monoclonal Antibodies

AMG-479 (Ganitumab, Amgen, Thousand Oaks, CA, US7700742) [79] is a fully human monoclonal antibody with an IgG1 base. AMG-479 acts on IGF-1R by uniquely inhibiting ligand binding of IGF-1R and -2 with resultant inhibition of IGF-1R phosphorylation. In vivo and in vitro studies have demonstrated IGF-1R inhibition in several tumor types, particularly sarcoma cell lines and pancreatic carcinoma xenografts [81]. Tolcher et al. conducted a phase I study in 53 patients with solid tumors or Hodgkin’s lymphoma at a dose of 1-20mg/kg every 2 weeks [82]. The most common
Table 1. Results of Recent Clinical Trials Within the Last Two Years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Toxicities (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMG-479 IgG1</td>
<td></td>
<td>II [85]</td>
<td>Refractory ES or desmoplastic small round cell tumors</td>
<td>ORR 6% 2/35 PR 7/35 with clinical benefit (PR or SD)</td>
<td>G3/4 Thrombocytopenia (14%), neutropenia (9%), hyperglycemia (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II [84]</td>
<td>Metastatic pancreatic cancer + gemcitabine</td>
<td>1/38 PR 19/38 SD</td>
<td>G3/4 Neutropenia (18%), thrombocytopenia (15%), fatigue (10%), hyperglycemia (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I [82]</td>
<td>Solid tumors, non-Hodgkin’s Lymphoma</td>
<td>One CR (ES), PR (carcinoïd)</td>
<td></td>
<td>G3 Thrombocytopenia (8), transaminitis (1); G1/2 thrombocytopenia, hyperglycemia, fatigue, fever, rash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIIB-022 IgG4</td>
<td></td>
<td>I [104]</td>
<td>Sarcoma (10), colorectal (8), lung (3), pancreas(2), adrenal (1)</td>
<td>One SD (sarcoma)</td>
<td>Headache, fatigue, nausea; G3 hypertension, fatigue, dyspnea, QTc interval prolongation (1 each)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP-751,871 Fully human IgG2</td>
<td></td>
<td>I [128]</td>
<td>ACC, metastatic refractory</td>
<td>8/14 SD</td>
<td>G4 Hyperuricemia, proteinuria, elevated LFTs (1 each), hyperglycemia, nausea, fatigue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II [99]</td>
<td>Advanced NSCLC + paclitaxel/ carboplatin</td>
<td>54% ORR (vs. 42% of patients treated with standard)</td>
<td>G3/4 Neutropenia, hyperglycemia, thrombocytopenia, infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II [101]</td>
<td>mCRC</td>
<td>Did not meet 6-mo OS goal</td>
<td>G3/4 Hyperglycemia, asthenia, fatigue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>III [102]</td>
<td>Advanced NSCLC + paclitaxel/ carboplatin</td>
<td>Accrual suspended-no survival advantage</td>
<td>Hyperglycemia, dehydration, hemoptysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMC-A12 IgG1</td>
<td></td>
<td>I [114]</td>
<td>Advanced cancer, + temsirolimus</td>
<td>18/42 SD</td>
<td>G3 Mucositis, febrile neutropenia, hyperglycemia, hypertriglyceridemia; G4 thrombocytopenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II [110]</td>
<td>mCRPC</td>
<td>In q2wk dosing; 9/31 SD In q3wk dosing; 3/10 SD</td>
<td>G3 Fatigue, hyperglycemia, thrombocytopenia; G4 leukencephalopathy, hyperkalemia; G5 pneumonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II [112]</td>
<td>Advanced hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td>Inactive as monotherapy 0/22 OR 7/22 SD</td>
<td>G3/4 Hyperglycemia (46%), elevated liver functions tests, hyponatremia (25%), lypphemopenia (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II [111]</td>
<td>Sarcomas</td>
<td>1 PR ES, 1 PR LIP, SD 24-57%</td>
<td>G3/4 Pain (7), hyperglycemia (6), thrombocytopenia (5), asthenia (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK-0646 IgG1</td>
<td>Humanized</td>
<td>I [161]</td>
<td>13 Colorectal, 11 breast, 5 ES, 19 other</td>
<td>Three SD</td>
<td>G3 Purpura, G1-2 hyperglycemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I [91]</td>
<td>Advanced solid tumors</td>
<td>Median days on treatment 64, two patients remain on study &gt; 1 yr</td>
<td>G4 Thrombocytopenia (1), fatigue, vomiting, nausea, constipation, diarrhea, abdominal pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II [92]</td>
<td>Stage IV pancreatic cancer, + gemcitabine and/or erlotinib</td>
<td>PR 20% gemcitabine + MK-0646 (A), PR 25% in triple combination (B)</td>
<td>G3/4 Neutropenia 9/A, 26/B; thrombocytopenia 5/A, 10/B; anemia 2/B; hyponatremia 1/A, 5/B; hyperglycemia 3/A, 3/B; dehydration 1/B; thrombus 2/B; infection 2/B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II/III [93]</td>
<td>mCRC chemorefractory, + irinotecan and cetuximab</td>
<td>Addition of MK-0646 worsened PFS/OS</td>
<td>Decreased weight, dehydration, hyperglycemia, skin exfoliation, neuropathy, and stomatitis in MK-0646 group.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 Contd.:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Toxicities (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R-1507</td>
<td>Fully human IgG1</td>
<td>I [117]</td>
<td>Advanced solid tumors</td>
<td>PR in two patients (ES), SD in 13 patients</td>
<td>G3/4 Fatigue, anorexia, abdominal pain, anemia, diarrhea, hyperglycemia, lymphopenia, CVA, nausea, thrombocytopenia, hyperbilirubinemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II [118]</td>
<td>Recurrent or refractory ES</td>
<td>18/125 with CR or PR</td>
<td>G3/4 Diarrhea (2), anemia (2), thrombocytopenia (2), and neutropenia (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>II [120]</td>
<td>Advanced NSCLC + erlotinib</td>
<td>Failed to improve efficacy of erlotinib</td>
<td>G3/4 Rash, fatigue, hyperglycemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AXL-1717 (PPP)</td>
<td>TKI</td>
<td>I [137]</td>
<td>Solid tumors</td>
<td>SD in 4 (NSCLC)</td>
<td>Neutropenia, no DLTs in four NSCLC patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMS-754807</td>
<td>TKI (IR and IGF-1R)</td>
<td>I [144]</td>
<td>Solid tumors</td>
<td>SD in 7 (SCLC, osteosarcoma)</td>
<td>G3 Hyperglycemia (1), fatigue (G3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSI-906</td>
<td>TKI (IR and IGF-1R)</td>
<td>I [126]</td>
<td>Advanced solid tumors</td>
<td>SD in 24 patients. In ACC patients, 1 PR, 4 SD</td>
<td>G3 Hyperglycemia (4), G4 fatigue (1), G3 QTc interval prolongation, and G3 vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I [129]</td>
<td>Advanced solid tumors + paclitaxel</td>
<td>11/55 on study for ≥ 24 wks. In ovarian cancer (n=29), 5 with PR, 11 with SD</td>
<td>G4 pulmonary embolism; G3 neutropenia, deep vein thrombosis, hyperglycemia, fatigue; G2 neuropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I [131]</td>
<td>Refractory mCRC + everolimus</td>
<td>57% SD as best response, “no significant sign of clinical activity”</td>
<td>G3 Mucositis (14%), nausea (7%), vomiting (7%), and hypokalemia (7%); G4 thrombocytopenia (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I [127]</td>
<td>Advanced cancer</td>
<td>SD in 18/43 pts (thymic, ACC, colon, melanoma)</td>
<td>G3 Hyperglycemia (3), G3 QTc interval prolongation (1), G3 transaminis (2). Nausea, vomiting, fatigue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XL-228</td>
<td>TKI, + activity against src kinases, Bcr-Abl variant T315I, Aurora kinases, FGFR1-3</td>
<td>I [141]</td>
<td>CML or Ph+ ALL</td>
<td>CR in two (CML), PR in two (ALL)</td>
<td>Fatigue, nausea, hyperglycemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I [140]</td>
<td>Solid tumors, lymphoma</td>
<td>PR (NSCLC), 9 SD</td>
<td>G3/4 Neutropenia, G3 vomiting (1); G1 nausea, fatigue, decreased appetite, flushing; G1/2 hyperglycemia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Toxicities (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G=grade, ACC=adrenocortical carcinoma, ALL=acute lymphoblastic leukemia, CML=chronic myelogenous leukemia, CR=complete response, CVA=cerebrovascular accident, DLT=dose-limiting toxicity, ES=Ewing’s sarcoma, LIP=adipocytic sarcoma, LMS=leiomyosarcoma, mCRC=metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer, mCRC=metastatic colorectal cancer, NSCLC=non-small cell lung carcinoma, ORR=objective response rate, PR=partial response, RMS=metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer, SCLC=small cell lung carcinoma, SD=stable disease, SYN=synovial sarcoma

Mild toxicities included fatigue, thrombocytopenia, fever, rash, chills, and anorexia, while severe toxicities included grade 3 thrombocytopenia (n = 8) and transaminis (n = 1). Durable complete responses were seen in two patients, who remained disease-free at 28 months. A phase Ib study of AMG-479 in combination with panitumumab or gencatibine reported grade 3/4 toxicities of neutropenia and hyperglycemia [83]. A phase II study has recently validated the efficacy of AMG-479 in combination with gencatibine in 121 patients with pancreatic cancer [84] and Ewing’s family tumors (EFT) or desmoplastic small round cell tumors (DSRCT) [85]. Combination therapy resulted in an increased survival rate (57% in combination vs. 50% in gencatibine alone at 6 months) and longer progression-free survival (5.1 vs. 2.1 months). Grade 3/4 events included neutropenia (18%), thrombocytopenia (15%), and fatigue (13%). There are currently ongoing phase I and II trials in small cell lung cancer, epithelial ovarian cancer, pancreatic cancer, colorectal cancer, and other solid tumors (NCT0079154, NCT0071852, NCT01024387, NCT01061788, NCT01122119). Additional trials are examining the efficacy of AMG-479 in combination with AMG-655, a fully human monoclonal antibody targeting the TRAIL (tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand) receptor 2 (NCT00819169, NCT00813615). Several other phase II trials have completed accrual but have not yet published results.
AVE-1642 (Sanofi-Aventis, Paris, France) binds IGF-1R with high affinity and is another IgG1-based mAb. Two phase I studies have documented its safety, and phase II trials are currently underway. In the setting of refractory refractory multi-metastatic melanoma, Moreau et al. documented two grade 3 hyperglycemies in a total of 14 patients, two of which were in diabetic patients [86]. Tolcher et al. followed with a phase I study at 3mg/kg every 3 weeks in combination with docetaxel in patients with solid tumors, and they reported no severe toxicities in patients with advanced solid tumors [87]. Moreau et al. then combined AVE-1642 with bortezomib, a protease inhibitor, in a phase I trial in patients with multiple myeloma, and unfortunately concluded that despite the favorable toxicity profile, there was insufficient activity in multiple myeloma to merit further development of AVE-1642 in this subgroup [88].

MK-0646 (h7C10, dalotuzumab, Merck, Whitehouse Station, NJ) is a humanized monoclonal antibody that inhibits IGF-1R in a dose-dependent manner and inhibits the downstream PI3K/AKT and MAPK pathways, causing receptor internalization and degradation [89]. Following documented activity in multiple tumor xenografts, phase I trials established safety in patients with IGF-1R-expressing tumors on immunohistochemistry [90]. Unlike other IGF-1R monoclonal antibodies, where no DLT was achieved, grade 3 thrombocytopenia was observed at a dose of 5mg/kg in another phase I study [91], as well as gastrointestinal bleeding, pneumonitis, and transaminitis. Another phase I study evaluating the role of MK-0646 in breast cancer is open to enrollment (NCT00759785), and phase II trials verifying single-agent efficacy in metastatic neuroendocrine tumors and metastatic nonsquamous lung cancer are ongoing (NCT00610129, NCT00799240). Phase II trials are also investigating MK-0646 in combination with other agents in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (erlotinib), and advanced metastatic cancers (ridaforolimus) (NCT00614393, NCT00729742, NCT00730379, NCT00769483). A recent trial evaluating gemcitabine and erlotinib in stage IV pancreatic cancer yielded a partial response in 20% in patients who received MK-0646 with gemcitabine, and in 25% of patients who received triple combination therapy [92]. A phase II/III trial investigated the utility of MK-0646 in metastatic colorectal cancer with wild-type KRAS mutations in combination with cetuximab and irinotecan in 345 patients who underwent unsuccessful treatment with oxaliplatin and irinotecan. Unfortunately, compared to patients on irinotecan and cetuximab alone, the addition of MK-0646 to the regimen worsened progression-free and overall survival, which could not be explained by adverse events alone, and the trial was stopped after first interim analysis [93].

Although other agents such as AMG-479 and MK-0646 harbor dose-limiting hematologic toxicities such as grade 3 thrombocytopenia and grade 3/4 thrombocytopenia, CP-751,871 (figitumumab) was designed with an IgG2 backbone in the hopes that reduced complement fixation and immune-mediated cytotoxicity would circumvent hematologic toxicity. CP-751,871 competes for the IGF-1R receptor and causes internalization with degradation and subsequent downregulation of IGF-1R, and it exhibits activity against xenograft tumor growth in several different cancer types [94]. It also boosts antitumor activity in combination with other standard chemotherapeutic agents [94]. In combination with the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin, CP-751,871 enhances rapamycin activity and suppresses VEGF [95]. A phase I dose-escalation study was initiated in patients with multiple myeloma [96], followed by a phase I dose-escalation study in combination with carboplatin and taxol in patients with solid tumors [97], and a phase I dose-escalation study in combination with docetaxel in patients with solid tumors [98]. Doses were generally well-tolerated, with mild adverse events including anemia, hyperglycemia, anorexia, nausea, diarrhea, asthenia, and elevated liver function tests. Grade 3 or 4 events included DVT, hyperuricemia, neutropenia, febrile neutropenia, fatigue, diarrhea, and cellulitis. Several partial responses and disease stabilizations were observed. IGF-1R inhibition was demonstrated by downregulated IGF-1R levels, increased circulating IGF-1, and hyperglycemia. Interestingly, hyperglycemia was most prevalent in those patients with robust responses. Karp et al. followed with a phase II trial of CP 751,871 (10-20mg/kg, q3 weeks) with or without paclitaxel and carboplatin in 156 patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [99]. Of the patients treated with combination therapy, 54% had a documented response, compared with 42% of patients treated with carboplatin and taxol alone, with the highest response rate (78%) in patients with squamous cell histology in the combination arm. All-cause grade 3/4 toxicities were equal in all arms, with the exception of hyperglycemia in patients who received figitumubum (15% in combination arm, 8% in carboplatin/taxol alone arm). Another phase II trial [100] demonstrated activity in patients with prostate cancer, with one diabetic patient experiencing grade 3 hyperglycemia. On the other hand, a phase II trial of figitumumab in patients with refractory, metastatic colorectal cancer did not show any clinical benefit [101]. Results of a phase III trial in advanced NSCLC with figitumumab in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel versus carboplatin/paclitaxel alone were unfortunately disappointing and resulted in trial discontinuation [102]. There was no survival benefit in the figitumumab group with an increased number of patient deaths, and significantly more side effects including dehydration, hyperglycemia, and hemoptysis. However, a subset of patients with elevated circulating IGF-1 levels appeared to benefit more than others, and endured less toxicity than patients with lower circulating IGF-1 levels. Another phase III study (NCT00596830) comparing erlotinib with or without figitumubum in patients with NSCLC was recently discontinued after interim analysis concluded that patient survival on figitumumab was not superior to erlotinib. However, combination with mTOR inhibition in solid tumors yielded one partial response and 15 stable disease responses out of 18 patients, and no DLTs in a recent phase I trial [103]. Unfortunately, the disappointing results of these recent phase III trials have led to the termination of several trials employing figitumumab.

Similar to figitumumab, BIIB-022 is not based on IgG1. It is another fully human IGF-1R mAb, based on IgG4, and is non-glycosylated. A phase I trial in solid tumors with dosing at 1.5 to 30mg/kg found grade 3 toxicities in 4/24 patients, including hypertension, fatigue, dyspnea, and QTc interval prolongation; two patients experienced DLTs [104]. Although there were no objective responses, three patients
had metabolic PET responses. Another phase I trial is in progress assessing BIIB-022 in the setting of stage IIIB/IV NSCLC in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel (NCT00970580), and a phase I/II trial assessing the efficacy of BIIB-022 in hepatocellular carcinoma is underway (NCT00956436).

IMC-A12 (cixutumumab, Imclone, New York, NY, US7638605) [105] is a fully-human monoclonal antibody with an IgG1 backbone specific to IGF-1R. Both in vitro and in vivo research has validated its activity against breast, pancreatic, colon, and prostate cancers [106, 107]. In prostate cancer xenografts, IMC-A12 was efficacious in both androgen-dependent and androgen-independent carcinomas, exhibiting activity and apoptosis at different stages of the cell cycle depending on androgen status [108]. An early phase I study of 11 patients with advanced solid refractory tumors treated with 3-10mg/kg weekly revealed no DLTs and no grade 3/4 toxicities: mild side effects included rash, pruritis, anemia, hyperglycemia, and infusion reaction [109]. A phase II study for patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer was then launched using single-agent IMC-A12, and stable disease for > 6 months was achieved in 9/31 and 3/10 patients in the 10mg/kg/q2 weeks and 20mg/kg q3 weeks cohorts, respectively [110]. Grade 3/4 toxicities included thrombocytopenia, hyperglycemia (five patients required insulin), fatigue, leukoencephalopathy, hyperkalemia, and one grade 5 pneumonia, with most of the severe toxicities occurring in the frequently dosed group. Schoffski et al. examined cixutumumab in sarcoma patients in a phase II setting, and documented objective responses in Ewing’s sarcoma and adipoctic sarcoma (1 each), with disease stabilization in 57% (21/37) patients with adipocytic sarcoma, suggesting this particular subtype of sarcoma may benefit the most from IGF-directed therapy [111]. Another phase II trial in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma failed to find IMC-A12 effective as monotherapy [112]. Several clinical trials are examining combination targeted therapy. In a phase II trial, Reidy et al. [113] examined concurrent cixutumumab and cetuximab in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer refractory to anti-EGFR therapy, in the hopes of overcoming EGFR resistance via the crosstalk pathway. Disappointingly, single or combination therapy with cixutumumab did not yield significant improvement in disease status. However, combination with the mTOR inhibitor temsirolimus in a phase I trial in patients with advanced solid tumors yielded promising results (18/46 had stable disease, 9 of whom experienced SD ≥ 5 months, and 2/3 Ewing’s sarcoma patients had SD for 8 months and 14 months, respectively) [114]. Currently several phase II trials are evaluating the efficacy of cixutumumab as a single agent or in combination chemotherapy in thymic, prostate, lung, adrenocortical, esophageal, head and neck, breast, and liver cancers; sarcoma; carcinoid or islet cell cancer; and mesothelioma (www.clinicaltrials.gov).

R-1507 (robatumumab, Roche, Basel, Switzerland, US20100158919) [115], a fully human IgG1 type monoclonal antibody, expresses in vivo potency against multiple tumor types, including lung, prostate, and breast cancers, and has gained interest in use against sarcoma. Initial phase I studies [116] confirmed the safety of robatumumab in solid tumors or lymphomas and sarcomas [117]. Grade 3 hyperglycemia and lymphopenia developed in 21 patients at a dose escalation of 1-16mg/kg/weekly, while a second study of 37 patients reported one cerebrovascular accident and one case of hyperbilirubinemia (in a patient with hepatic metastases) at a dose of 9mg/kg. Two patients with Ewing’s sarcoma in the second study experienced an objective response, and an additional two had stable disease. These findings have prompted a phase II trial in patients with refractory or recurrent Ewing’s sarcoma; 18 of 125 patients experienced a complete or partial response, with a median duration of response of 25 weeks [118]. Robatumumab also seems to have value in combination with rapamycin in osteosarcoma xenografts and merits further evaluation in patients [119]. In a phase II trial in patients with advanced NSCLC, R-1507 did not improve the efficacy of erlotinib [120].

SCH-717454 (Schering-Plough, Kenilworth, NJ, US7667021) [121] is another fully human monoclonal antibody with documented efficacy in multiple tumor xenografts [122]. A phase IB trial is ongoing in pediatric patients with solid tumors comparing SCH-717454 in combination with temozolomide and irinotecan; or cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, and vincristine; or ifosfamide and etoposide. Several phase II trials have completed recruitment but have not yet published study results; these include an evaluation of SCH-717454 in patients with relapsed or refractory colorectal cancer (NCT00555123), relapsed or refractory Ewing’s sarcoma or osteosarcoma (NCT00617890), and patients with advanced solid tumors (NCT00954512).

2.2. Small Molecule Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors (TKIs)

OSI-906 (OSI Pharmaceuticals, Melville, NY, US7459554) [123] is a small-molecular-weight TKI that attaches to the ATP-binding pocket of tyrosine kinase receptors, causing dual inhibition of both IR and IGF-1R. In vitro studies revealed activity against colorectal, NSCLC, pancreatic, and breast cancer cell lines [124]; subsequent in vivo investigations confirmed its antitumor properties in colon cancer xenografts [125]. This led to two phase I clinical trials, both of which supported the safety of OSI-906. Carden et al. [126] reported on a dose-escalation study in 61 patients with advanced solid tumors and found that 24 patients (39%) experienced stable disease, several of whom continued on the study. Seven DLTs were recorded, including grade 3 hyperglycemia, grade 4 fatigue, grade 3 QTc prolongation, and grade 3 vomiting, all of which occurred at doses >600mg daily. Evans et al. [127] administered escalating doses at 10-450mg/day and 20-200mg/twice daily in 57 patients with advanced cancers, achieving stable disease in 18 patients. Six DLTs were observed; all occurred at doses greater than 300mg total/day and included grade 3 hyperglycemia, grade 3/4 transaminitis, and grade 3 elevated QTc. A phase II expansion of the study is planned at 150mg twice daily in patients with colorectal cancer and non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. Robust activity was noticed in patients with adrenocortical carcinoma, and an ensuing phase III trial launched in patients with adrenocortical carcinoma, which is ongoing [128]. In a phase I trial of OSI-906 in patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer in combination with paclitaxel, results are promising: 5/29 patients experienced a partial response, while 11/29 had stable disease [129]. A phase II portion of this study is also currently un-
derway. Other trials include an ongoing phase II trial in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (NCT 01101906) and a phase I trial in patients with KRAS mutant-positive colorectal cancer in combination with irinotecan (NCT01016860). Two additional phase I trials exploit the potential receptor cross-talk pathway and combine OSI-906 with erlotinib (NCT00739453) in patients with advanced solid tumors and everolimus in patients with advanced refractory colorectal cancer (NCT01154335). Preliminary results from NCT00739453 are promising—21% of patients have experienced stable disease, while two partial responses have been reported [130]. Unfortunately, results from NCT01154335 are less encouraging—the investigators concluded that there were no signs of significant clinical activity in this refractory colorectal cancer cohort, and several dose-limiting toxicities were observed with escalation of everolimus, which precluded dose escalation and may be partially responsible for the clinically insignificant results [131].

Picropodophyllin (PPP) is a member of the cyclolignan family and selectively inhibits IGF-1R without affecting the other insulin receptors. Its proposed selectivity stems from inhibition of IGF-1R phosphorylation at the substrate level rather than the kinase domain [132]. In vivo observations have shown that PPP inhibits several tumor types, including melanoma, myeloma, and multidrug resistant osteosarcoma [133-135]. AXL-1717 (Axelar, Stockholm, Sweden, US7662851) [136] is an orally dosed picropodophyllin-derived compound under evaluation in phase I/II trials (NCT01062620). Ekman et al. reported on a subset of the above patients, four of whom had progressive NSCLC and were treated with AXL-1717 [137]. Over a treatment period of 7 months, none of the patients have developed metastases and there have been no DLTs, although one patient did develop neutropenia requiring a dose reduction. BVP-51004 (Biovitrum) is another small molecule PPP-based TKI that causes IGF-1R downregulation, it is currently in preclinical development.

XL-228 (Exelixis, San Francisco, CA, US20090232828) [138] is a multitarget TKI that inhibits IGF-1R (IC50 2nm), Src (IC50 5nm), and Bcr-abl kinases (IC50 7nm) [139]. Particularly promising in the field of leukemia, XL-228 harbors activity against a mutant form of Abl, T315I, which is largely resistant to imatinib and dasatinib in chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML). Smith et al. presented the results of a phase I trial [140] in 30 patients who were dosed at 0.45 to 8.0mg/kg weekly via IV infusions. DLTs at 8mg/kg included grade 3/4 neutropenia and grade 3 vomiting, while milder side effects included nausea, fatigue, and grade 1/2 hyperglycemia. Nine patients had stable disease, and one patient with NSCLC experienced a partial response. Another phase I study examined XL-228 in CML and Bcr-Abl positive acute lymphoid leukemia (ALL) in 35 patients, at doses of 0.45-10.8mg/kg once weekly and 3.6mg/kg twice weekly [141]. Two CML patients experienced a complete cytogenic response, one of whom expressed the mutant Abl T315I, and two patients had a major cytogenic response, one of whom was also T315I-positive. Side effects consisted of tumor lysis syndrome, thrombocytopenia, neutropenia, anemia, fever, and infection.

BMS-754807 (Bristol-Myers Squibb, New York, NY, US7534792) [142] is a dual IGF-1R/IR TKI that inhibits in vivo growth of breast, lung, pancreatic, colon, and colon cancers as well as multiple myeloma, leukemia, and sarcomas [143]. Initial phase I results have been reported for 19 subjects at doses of 4, 10, 20, 30, 50, or 70mg/day. The only reported grade 3 event was hyperglycemia in three patients, without any DLTs. Partial metabolic responses and stable metabolic disease were reported in one and 11 patients, respectively, on PET-CT [144]. In vivo evidence also suggests that BMS-754807 can overcome tamoxifen and letrozole resistance in breast cancer and that it exhibits synergistic effects in combination with tamoxifen and letrozole [77]. This is currently under evaluation in another phase I/II trial in which BMS-754807 is combined with trastuzumab in patients with metastatic or advanced Her-2-positive breast cancer (NCT00788333). Several other phase I trials are also recruiting patients with solid tumors.

INSN-18 (NDGA, Insmed, Richmond, VA) is a nord-hydroguaiaretic acid (NDGA)-derived dual TKI, active against IGF-1R and HER2. A phase I study in 15 patients with prostate cancer at oral doses of 750-2500 mg resulted in a positive effect on PSA levels [145]. Six of 15 patients experienced transaminitis, two of which were grade 3. The phase II portion of this trial was recently completed and results are pending.

Other promising IGF-1R TKIs are in preclinical development and have not yet undergone investigation in human trials. AG-1024 (Tyrophostin, Calbiochem-EMD biosciences, La Jolla, CA) has been extensively studied in the preclinical phase. It is effective at inhibiting several cancer cell lines and shows synergistic effects with gefitinib [73], and tamoxifen and fulvestrant [74] in breast cancer cell lines. It also enhances the effects of chemotherapy in mesothelioma [146]. PQIP (OSI Pharmaceuticals, Melville, NY) is a highly-selective IGF-1R TKI that is effective against colorectal cancer cell lines [147], and it exhibited independent and synergistic activity in combination with erlotinib in pancreatic cancer cell lines [148].

The Novartis-produced compounds NVP-AEW541 and NVP-ADW742 are ATP-competitive inhibitors that prevent IGF-1R autophosphorylation and have been extensively studied in the laboratory setting. NVP-AEW541 disrupts the HIF-1 pathway in human glioblastoma cells [149] and acts synergistically with dasatinib to block human glioma cell HIF-1 pathway in human glioblastoma cells [149] and acts synergistically with dasatinib to block human glioma cell lines [150]. It also increased the response in taxol-resistant ovarian carcinoma cells [36]. NVP-ADW742 acts synergistically with etoposide and carboplatin in small cell lung cancer cell lines [151]. Combined with imatinib, doxorubicin, or vincristine, NVP-ADW742 successfully induced apoptosis and reduced VEGF expression in Ewing’s sarcoma cells [152].

Epigallocatechin gallate is a catechin polyphenol component of green tea, and emerging laboratory data implicate its potential activity as a TKI. Kang et al. demonstrated its ability to inhibit IGF-1R by autophosphorylation of IGF-1R tyrosine kinase, with downstream inhibition and resultant cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in Ewing’s sarcoma cells [153]. Others have demonstrated its efficacy in multiple tumor types, including breast and colorectal cell lines [154]. It is
still in preclinical development and has not yet been used in humans. Abbott has also released a new compound, A-928605, which is a dual IR and IGF-1R inhibitor. Although there are no human clinical trials, in vivo the novel inhibitor was efficacious against neuroblastoma, pancreatic cancer, and NSCLC [155].

2.3. Others

Resveratrol, a phytoalexin compound found in the skin of red grapes, has been found to suppress colon cancer cell lines through IGF-1R and p53 activation [156], with documented activity in ovarian cancer as well [157]. GlaxoSmithKline (London, United Kingdom, WO2008098917) [158] employed the resveratrol-based compound SRT-501 in a phase I/II trial of multiple myeloma (NCT00920556) with or without bortezomib at a dose of 5 g daily in 21-day cycles. The study was suspended after 5/24 (21%) of patients developed kidney failure. The etiology of the renal failure remains unclear, as patients with multiple myeloma are prone to renal failure as a result of cast nephropathy. Given its in vivo activity against colon cancer, phase I/II trials are underway assessing the safety and efficacy of SRT-501 use in colon cancer with hepatic metastases (NCT00920803).

ATL1101 (Antisense Therapeutics, Victoria, Australia, US7468356) [159] is a second-generation antisense oligonucleotide inhibitor of IGF-1R. When tested on castration-resistant and paclitaxel-resistant prostate cancer, ATL1101 suppressed tumor growth in both cell lines and increased paclitaxel sensitivity in vitro and in vivo, with concurrent downregulation of IGF-1R mRNA and protein expression [160]. It has not yet entered clinical phase trials.

2.4. Safety and Toxicity

Most IGF inhibitors are well tolerated, with both general class-specific and individual drug-specific toxicities. The most common milder reactions included skin toxicities (rash, flushing, pruritis) and fatigue. As predicted, hyperglycemia has emerged as a significant side effect, but most cases are grade 1 or 2 and are easily managed with oral hypoglycemics. Grade 3 or 4 reactions tended to occur in diabetic patients and were reversible. Not surprisingly, hyperglycemia was more severe in regimens including corticosteroids [97], an important consideration when combining IGF inhibitors with chemotherapy. Interestingly, despite the improved specificity of the IGF-1R-directed monoclonal antibodies, hyperglycemia was also observed in those patient cohorts as well. It is hypothesized that hyperglycemia in those cases develops through the hypothalamic-pituitary axis and GH-related mechanisms. Given the longer half-lives of IGF-1R directed antibodies, hyperglycemia is more prevalent, and recently has raised concerns about toxicity. In combination with cytotoxic agents and other targeted therapies, toxicities are generally more frequent and severe.

Hematological toxicity has resulted as well, particularly thrombocytopenia in the cases of MK-0646 and AMG-479, as noted above [87, 161], but less commonly in figitumumab, possibly owing to the IgG2-based pharmacokinetics and cell-mediated cytotoxicity. However, lymphopenia has also been reported in both figitumumab and R1507. Hence caution is warranted when these are administered in combination with chemotherapy.

Because IGF-targeted therapy is still young, long-term effects are unknown. Important considerations include the pediatric population, especially in light of the promising outcomes with IGF-targeted therapy in Ewing’s sarcoma. Given the interaction between IGF and GH, growth delay could potentially occur. Small molecule TKIs may also traverse the blood-brain barrier, and although no neurologic side effects have been reported, long-term effects are unknown. Similarly, IGF inhibitors may have unforeseen enduring effects on metabolism, body fat, muscle mass, and bone density.

3. BIOMARKERS FOR PREDICTING RESPONSE TO IGF INHIBITORS

The focus in oncology therapeutics is shifting from general systemically toxic agents to targeted, personalized medicine. Imatinib (Gleevec), trastuzumab (Herceptin), erlotinib (Tarceva), and others have paved the way for IGF-mediated targeting. Amongst these, the IGF pathway is unique. It is ubiquitously expressed in cells, with multiple complex signaling conduits, and much remains unknown and ill-defined. Yet ubiquitous expression may not translate to a global response to IGF-targeted therapeutics, with IGF-1R overexpression differing between tumor types and individual patients. Sarcomas, for example, exhibit high levels of IGF-1R overexpression and have demonstrated encouraging responses to IGF inhibitors, whereas other solid tumors have varied in responsiveness. In parallel to IGF-1R development, efforts at identifying predictive biomarkers have increased. IGF-1R overexpression has been reported as a potential biomarker in rhabdomyosarcoma and breast cancer [162, 163], while IGF ligands may also predict response to therapy [164]. A trend has emerged that tumor differentiation types predict response along the lines of the epithelial-mesenchymal axis, where epithelial-like tumors respond better to IGF inhibition than do mesenchymal tumors. As an example, the squamous subset of the NSCLC tumors had the highest response rate to CP-751,871, whereas mesenchymal-expressing NSCLC did not exhibit as robust a response, with concurrently low circulating ligand levels [165]. Pretreatment circulating levels of IGF-1 were also predictive of response [166]. Thus, mesenchymal markers may identify which patients are sensitive to IGF-mediated therapy. In BMS-536924, insulin receptor substrates were a useful marker for sensitivity [167]. Zha et al. examined the IGF-1R-directed monoclonal antibody h10H5 (Genentech, South San Francisco, CA) in breast and colon cancers and determined that IGF-2, IRS1, and IRS2 have predictive value for response, as well as IGF-1R overexpression [168]. In breast cancer, higher levels of phosphorylated IGF-1R and IR1 were associated with p53, as well as IGF-1R overexpression. Because IGF-targeted therapy is still young, long-term effects are unknown. Important considerations include the pediatric population, especially in light of the promising outcomes with IGF-targeted therapy in Ewing’s sarcoma. Given the interaction between IGF and GH, growth delay could potentially occur. Small molecule TKIs may also traverse the blood-brain barrier, and although no neurologic side effects have been reported, long-term effects are unknown. Similarly, IGF inhibitors may have unforeseen enduring effects on metabolism, body fat, muscle mass, and bone density.

Because IGF-targeted therapy is still young, long-term effects are unknown. Important considerations include the pediatric population, especially in light of the promising outcomes with IGF-targeted therapy in Ewing’s sarcoma. Given the interaction between IGF and GH, growth delay could potentially occur. Small molecule TKIs may also traverse the blood-brain barrier, and although no neurologic side effects have been reported, long-term effects are unknown. Similarly, IGF inhibitors may have unforeseen enduring effects on metabolism, body fat, muscle mass, and bone density.
4. CROSS-TALK BETWEEN RECEPTORS LEADS TO IGF INHIBITOR RESISTANCE

The receptor cross-talk pathways between IGF-1R and other receptors including EGFR, HER-2, ER, and PDGFR represent crucial relationships through which resistance may evolve, both to IGF-related therapies and to other receptor-specific drugs. IGF inhibitor resistance may occur via receptor cross-talk: using BMS-754807, Huang et al. induced IGF inhibitor resistance in breast, sarcoma, and colon cancer cell lines, with this resistance occurring through an EGFR-mediated mechanism [167]. Logically, it follows that combining these agents could prevent and/or overcome resistance. Both in vivo and in vitro studies utilizing such combinations have yielded affirmative results. In vivo, BMS-754807 can circumvent tamoxifen and letrozole resistance in breast cancer [77]. AG-1024 likewise shows synergistic effects with gefitinib [73] and with tamoxifen and fulvestrant [74] in breast cancer cell lines. Other dual-kinase inhibitors exhibit activity through multiple pathways; one such example is XL-228, which targets IGF-1R, Src, and Bcr-abl kinases. Another dual inhibitor, di-diabody (Imclone), binds both IGF-1R and EGFR and has been active in tumor xenografts [170] but has not yet undergone human testing. Another bispecfic molecule with distinct EGFR and IGF binding sites is currently under patent application (US20100179094) [171]. In vivo success has resulted in the launch of several clinical trials combining IGF-1R inhibitors and erlotinib, trastuzumab, exemestane, letrozole, gefitinib, and others. Initial results from combination dalotuzumab and mTOR inhibitor ridaforolimus in a phase I study with ER-positive breast cancer patients are encouraging [172].

Targeting the point of cross-talk convergence could yield even greater levels of inhibition. To this effect, the mTOR pathway has elicited interest. In combination with the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin, CP-751,871 enhances rapamycin activity and suppresses VEGF [95]. Inhibitors of the MEK and PI3K pathway have also undergone in vitro testing in combination with IGF inhibitors and offer additional downstream interventions [173]. New patents in pyrazole derivatives promote activity against VEGF-2, the angiopoetitin receptor TIE-2, and Raf kinases (US7767696) [174]. It is largely unknown whether continuous or intermittent regimens provide the most benefit. In vivo and in vitro studies have also confirmed the role of receptor cross-talk in chemoresistance and have proven that IGF-1R inhibitors can overcome cytotoxic resistance. Several phase II/III trials are underway examining the effectiveness of both IGF-directed TKIs and monoclonal antibodies in combination with chemotherapy in resistant tumors.

5. CURRENT & FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS

Other classes of drugs are also in development, including antisense oligonucleotides, peptides, and proteins. In addition to IGF-1R, other members of the IGF family are upregulated in cancer, including IR, IR-A, and IGF-II. A-928605, a dual IR and IGF-1R inhibitor, is currently under investigation. Targeting hybrid receptors and IGF ligands is also currently under development (US7553485) [175], as is targeting IGF-II as a specific anticancer agent (US7824681) [176]. Medi-573 (Medimmune, Gaithersburg, MD) is a fully-human monoclonal antibody which targets the ligands IGF-1 and IGF-2, and thereby inhibits both IGF-1R and IR-A downstream signaling. A phase I clinical trial investigating its efficacy in solid tumors is currently underway (NCT00816361). Similarly, IGF-binding proteins affect ligand bioavailability and may serve as valuable targets, acting as a sink for circulating ligands. Kirman and Whelan proposed a patent utilizing IGFBP3 to prevent tumor cell growth and metastasis during surgery (US20070142289) [177], while Oh et al. have developed IGFBP3 receptor-binding molecules which do not cross-react with the IGFs [178]. Another method proposes the use of antisense oligonucleotides which inhibit the tumorigenic activity of IGFBP2 and IGFBP5 [179].

In the field of IGF-1R-directed monoclonal antibodies, efforts continue to develop IGF-1R antibodies with reduced immunogenicity (US7575746) [180]. This patent in particular describes altering the germline framework within the antibody structure, which lends a reduced immunogenicity and potentially improved activity. Another patent proposes cytokine conjugation of IGF-1R, with improved pharmacokinetics and a potentially longer serum half-life (US20100189689) [181]. Improvements evolve within current antibodies as well: a new and improved version of AVE-1642 promises IGF-1R-specific inhibition rather than dual IGF-1R and IR inhibition and thus an improved side effect profile (US7538195) [182]. Another novel antibody specifically binds IGF-1R and not IR, and also allows for ligand-receptor binding but neutralizes IGF-1R activation (US20100226884) [183]. However, a recent study determined that IGF-1R translocates to the nucleus of tumor cells and suggested that the size of antibodies may limit their ability to enter the cell membrane, thus favoring the mechanism of smaller TKIs [184].

Several novel compounds including oxindoles, pyrrolo[2,3-B]pyridine derivatives, pyrrolo and cyanoquinoxalines harbor potential activity against multiple tyrosine kinases including IGF-1R [185-187]. Heinrich et al. describe a potent novel class of non-ATP competitive allosteric IGF-1R inhibitors, which selectively bind IGF-1R [188]. This improved selectivity may potentially avoid chemoresistance and some of the side effects encountered with nonspecific TKIs. The use of siRNA to silence overexpressed genes has gained much attention, and Khvorova et al. have explored using siRNA targeted against IGF-1R (US7638621) [189]. In humans, successful therapeutic use of siRNA has posed many challenges and certainly merits further investigation in the context of IGF.
courting, long-term effects remain unknown, and optimal
dosing and scheduling are under investigation. Not surpris-
ingly, combination with other targeted therapies or cytotoxic
chemotherapeutics increases toxicity.

In addition, new pathways and potential therapeutic tar-
gets have emerged and warrant further study. Recent efforts
directed at new drug classes, utilization of IGF-1R inhibitors
in chemoresistance, and exploiting the receptor cross-talk
mechanism will surely optimize efficacy. While some phase
II and III results have been disappointing—even resulting in
trial termination—others have been encouraging, which
highlights the need for reliable biomarkers to aid in selection of
those patients and tumor types most likely to benefit from
IGF-targeted therapy, such as sarcoma. As the era of “per-
sonalized medicine” continues, so will developments in IGF-
targeted drug discovery.
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